

Albuquerque
Anchorage
Atlanta
Austin
Baltimore
Birmingham
Boston
Broward County
Buffalo
Charleston
Charlotte-Mecklenburg
Christina (DE)

Cincinnati

Clark County

Cleveland
Columbus
Dallas
Dayton
Denver
Des Moines
Detroit
East Baton Rouge
Fort Worth
Fresno
Greensboro
Hillsborough County
Houston
Indianapolis

Jackson
Jacksonville
Kansas City (MO)
Long Beach
Los Angeles
Louisville
Memphis
Miami-Dade County

Milwaukee Minneapolis Nashville New Orleans New York City Newark Norfolk Oakland

Oakland Oklahoma City Omaha Orlando Palm Beach County

Philadelphia Pittsburgh Portland Providence Richmond Rochester Sacramento Salt Lake City San Diego

San Francisco
Seattle
Shreveport
St. Louis
St. Paul
Toledo
Washington, D.C.
Wichita

٠

Council of the Great City Schools
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. ♦ Suite 702 ♦ Washington, D.C. ♦ 20004
(202) 393-2427 ♦ (202) 393-2400 (fax)
http://www.cgcs.org

May 23, 2011

The Honorable John Kline Chairman, Education and Workforce Committee U.S. House of Representatives Washington D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Council of the Great City Schools, the coalition of the nation's largest central city school districts, writes in support of H.R. 1891 pending before the Committee. The bill primarily will repeal some three dozen federal education programs that are no longer funded or have never been funded. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) has been long overdue for streamlining and simplification.

In the Great City Schools' ESEA Reauthorization Recommendations, the Council pointed out that each ESEA reauthorization results in more programs, provisions and requirements being added to the Act. Rarely is anything deleted; instead provisions are expanded and layered on top of other provisions. The Committee's ESEA Compilation of Federal Education Laws in elementary and secondary education ballooned by forty percent with the enactment of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. More importantly, this thousand-page federal education statute unnecessarily complicates and at times fragments the delivery of critical educational services.

The Council has consistently recommended consolidating numerous smaller ESEA programs into larger formula grants programs to local school districts. While individual member districts may prefer to retain one or another of the programs being repealed by the bill, including the handful of programs currently funded for FY 2011, an array of small grant programs contributes little to the academic attainment necessary for national competitiveness nor helps overcome the achievement gaps that serve as a persistent barrier to educational and economic opportunity.

The Council supports the Committee's effort in H.R. 1891 to begin to clean up, streamline, and refocus the Elementary and Secondary Education Act on critical national education priorities.

Sincerely,

Michael Casserly
Executive Director